

March 8, 1984

LB 561

act up for consideration at that time. I think that was reasonable. We also felt, coming from the medical community and Senator Withem and I talked about this particularly, that it would be good to know that the education that these optometrists were receiving was, in fact, quality education and they would be capable of handling these eye drops. And so we did insert in the committee amendments a review of educational facilities and their ability to educate optometrists in this manner and we feel that that is helpful also to ensure that the public is well treated with these eye drops. I think those concepts of health make this bill more palatable. I think it is a bill that I can support with the amendments and so I would hate to see other amendments adopted that we are not sure of what they do at this time and let's consider those amendments at a later time. I ask you to vote against the Clark amendments right now.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Withem, did you wish to speak to the Clark amendments?

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I do. Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I am rising to echo Senator Wesely's remarks and urge you to at least delay voting for the Clark amendments until we are actually absolutely sure of what they do. I heard Senator Clark's explanation and there were a couple of things in there that caused me just a little bit of concern to the point where I think that this is not a good amendment to adopt at this time. As Senator Wesely and as Senator Fenger have very adequately pointed out the history of this bill, it is a bill as a new member of the Legislature I came to only this session. I am a member of the Health and Welfare Committee and many of you are very much aware of the fact that the Health and Welfare Committee deals with a large number of these turf problems where we have one particular profession coming to us saying that they are, in fact, qualified and capable and well-trained to carry out a particular medical function where people on the other side say, no, they are not, they are not well-trained, they are not qualified and they are not capable of carrying out that function. We, as members of the Legislature with experiences that we bring to this